DARIUSH DOLAT-SHAHI

Electronic music, tar and sehtar



CENTER FOR FOLKLIFE PROGRAMS
AND CULTURAL STUBILES Campbell VYork, NY 10012, U.S.

r, and premiered at the C i / Type design: Sandy C p., 632 Broadway, New Y

Center, al raf-shahi / ice Corp.,

n Electronic Music Cen agraphy: Sudi Sharaf-sh Records and Service C

realized at the Columbia-Princeton Electron Cover design, illustration and photography; ecords FTS 37464 / © 1985 Folkways Records

Cover d

There could not be a more seemingdisparate compositional scenario as a work for tar or sehtar and elec-Oronic music: one a mystically primiive instrument whose musical per-Promance heritage is one of improvidational spiritualism; the other a pighly technical, modern medium reunded in accoustical science in direct defiance of any traditional notion of performance. Yet, in the skillful prk of Dariush Dolat-shahi, a com-mon, basic mandate of each "instrument" is profoundly explored. That premise is the unity of thought and substance in expression, that is, that musical elements determine the structure of the composition itself.

The far and the sentar are the two most popular native plucked-string instruments in the Persian musical tradition. The sentar dates back as far as the 8th Century B.C., the tar, considerably younger, first appeared in the early 18th Century. The tar is a double-bellied instrument with a face of sheepskin membrane; its six strings are usually tuned in pairs. The 26 frets are movable along the long neck, allowing the performer a wide range of microtonal pitches. The tar is plucked with a small metal plectrum held between thumb and forefinger. By contrast, the sentar is a smaller instrument, both in size and tone. It is a pear-shaped instrument made entirely of wood; the modern version of the instrument has four strings. Like the tar, the frets of the sehtar are movable alona its slender neck. The delicate timbre of the sehtar demands a small and intensely attentive audience and is therefore ideal for the intimate, spiritual settings of traditional Persian music.

Not only does the structure of the instrument imply a performance technique based on the improvisation of melodic elements, but the settings of Persian musical structures also implies an emphasis on a spiritual, rather than strictly rational, melodic progression. Persian music is organized into 12 dastgah (scales); each dastgah provides 20 to 50 melodic formulas or gousheh. During a per formance, the musician selects, by a process somewhat more spiritual than rational, the goushehs and dastgah upon which to base his improvisational explorations.

Because of anti-musical Islamic strictures, Persian art music never truly developed as a concert or public art, in the Western sense. Its traditional instruments are delicate in timbre; performance was confined to the royal court or the privacy of one's home. Under Islamic rule, only music for holy wars, sacramental ceremonies and the chanting of the Koran was approved. Significantly, in direct opposition to the Islamic clergy, mystic orders developed outside the legal and official framework of Islam, the Sufis. The Sufis believed that only through music could one attain true union with God, could bring a clarity

of thought and a balance of mind to the soul.

In the tradition of the Sufis' sacred concert gatherings, the memories of which Dariush Dolat-shahi admits as a distinct influence on his work, this composer seeks to attain the balance of electronic abstraction and traditional spiritualism. Another important influence was the epic poetry of eleventh century poet-historian Ferdowsi, in whose metered mythologies is contained one of the earliest histories of Persia before the Arab conquest of 636 A.D. As in traditional Persian musical bases, harmony is less important than melodic and rhythmic activities, the more primary musi cal instincts, which are improvised from a consciousness that combines the intellect and the intuition. The electronic elements of the work, in contrast to their usual role of an opposing abstraction, here serve only to complement and underscore the creations of the performer. The very structure of the work, the relationship between the electronic and human elements, becomes a metaphor for the balance, in the realm of higher consciousness, between the rational and the spiritual elements of the soul. The unity of thought and substance is ultimately achieved.

Dariush Dolat-shahi began his stuay of Persian traditional and folk music at age 10 at the Teheran Conservatory of Music. After earning his Bachelor of Music degree from Teheran University, the Dutch government awarded him a four-year Fellowship to study at the Amsterdam Conservatory of Music and at the Institute of Sonology in Utrecht. In the Netherlands, Dolat-shahi studied principally with Ton de Leeuw, Jos Kunst, G.M. Koenig and F.C. Weiland. Following a brief term as Assistant Professor at Teheran University, Dolat-shahi came to the United States to pursue his doc toral studies at Columbia University. Studying with Wen Chung, Davidovsky, Ussachevsky and Arel, Dolatshahi received his Doctor of Musical Arts degree in 1981. Now a New York City resident, Dr. Dolat-shahi has participated in many international events, including the Edward Van Beinum Foundation and the Gaudeamus Festival in the Netherlands, the International Festival of Computer and Electronic Music in New York, and the 1983 27th Biennial Conference for Traditional Music at Columbia University. His commissions and performances have included those of the Teheran Symphony Orchestra, the Persepolis Festival of Arts, the English Chamber Orchestra, the Utrecht Symphony Orchestra and the Nether lands Radio Philharmonic Orchestra In reviewing one of his performances Tim Page of the New York Times char acterized the work of Dariush Dolat shahi as "tremulously beautiful

Rachel S. Siegel

1—Samā'(tar and electronic) 8:40

2—Shabistan (sehtar and electronic) 6:40

3-Hūr (tar and electronic) 3:10

1—Zahāb (tar and electronic) 13:10 2-Razm (tar and electronic) 6:20